UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,462	09/10/2003	Thomas P. Kasting	1104-767	4921	
7590 08/24/2007 Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			EXAMINER		
Bank One Center/ Tower			DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE		
111 Monument Indianapolis, IN	Circle, Suite 3700 V 46204-5137		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3754		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ı î					1			
		Application No.	Applic	eant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/659,462	KAST	NG, THOMAS P.				
		Examiner	Art Ur	it				
		PHILIPPE S. DER						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspo	ondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN CHEVER IS SUBJECT OF THE INCOME. THE INCOME IS SPECIFIED ABOVE, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, munication. statutory period will apply and will expire S by will, by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailin become ABANDONED (35 U.S.)	g date of this communication.				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on 2 <u>4 May 2007</u> .						
•	This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-fina	l.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-17 and 26-28 is/are objection claim(s) are subject to restrict the street of the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the su	are withdrawn from considera						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are							
	Applicant may not request that any obj	- · ·	· ·					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been recei y documents have been recei s of the priority documents ha onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Application No. ve been received in th a)).					
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948)) 5) 🔲 (interview Summary (PTO-4' Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Ap Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/659,462

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18-21 and 23are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticiapted by Teegardin et al 2,774,517.

Teegardin et al show a fluid dispensing system comprising a pump body 12, plunger 22 and outlet valve 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teegardin et al in view of Grothoff 4,775,079.

Teegardin et al lack a shroud and vent opening. Grothoff shows a shroud 82 and vent opening 86 to allow dispensing in the upright or inverted position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Teegardin et al dispenser with a shroud and vent as taught by Grothoff to dispense in the upright or inverted position.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,462

Art Unit: 3754

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teegardin et al in view of Gillingham et al 5,738,250.

Teegardin et al lacks a shipping seal. Gillingham et al show a shipping seal 33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Teegardin et al dispenser with a shipping seal as taught by Gillingham et al to prevent inadvertent dispensing.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 and 26-28 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3754

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

PD 8/20/07